CLERK

Richard W. Wieking

TO: Mail Stop 8

Director of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

DATE

March 2, 2010

In Compliance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been filed in the U.S. District Court ___ Northern District of California ___ on the following ✓ Patents or ☐ Trademarks: DOCKET NO. DATE FILED U.S. DISTRICT COURT CV 07-01427 WHA March 12, 2007 450 Golden Gate Avenue, PO Box 36060, San Francisco, CA 94102 PLAINTIFF DEFENDANT **EXONHIT THERAPEUTICS** JIVAN BIOLOGICS PATENT OR DATE OF PATENT HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK 3 4 5 In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY ☐ Amendment ☐ Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading PATENT OR DATE OF PATENT HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK 3 4 5 In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT See attached Stipulation and Entry of Judgment

William Noble

(BY) DEPUTY CLERK

1	WILLIAM E. THOMSON, Jr. (Bar No. 047195)		
2	BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C. Howard Hughes Center		
3	6701 Center Drive West, Suite 610 Los Angeles, California 90045		
4	Telephone: (310) 348-8200 Facsimile: (310) 846-4799		
5	E-Mail: wthomson@brookskushman.com; Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
6	ExonHit Therapeutics S.A. ExonHit Therapeutics, Inc.		
7	DAVID R. SHAUB (Bar No. 032322)		
8	LISBETH BOSSHART MERRILL (Bar No. 201822) STEPHEN D. MORGAN (Bar No. 239345)		
9	SHAUB & WILLIAMS LLP 12121 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 205		
10	Los Angeles, CA 90025-1165 Tel: 310-826-6678		
11	Fax: 310-826-8042 Email: lawfirm@sw-law.com		
12	Attorneys for Defendant Jivan Biologics, Inc.		
13	51van Biologies, me.		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFOR	NIA - SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
16	EXONHIT THERAPEUTICS S.A. a French société anonyme, and EXONHIT	Case No. C07 1427 WHA (JCS) (EDL)	
17	THERAPEUTICS, INC., a Delaware Corporation,	STIPULATION AND CONSENT TO	
18	Plaintiffs,	ENTRY OF JUDGMENT	
19	·		
20	v. JIVAN BIOLOGICS, INC.		
21	a Delaware corporation,		
22	Defendant.		
23	JIVAN BIOLOGICS, INC. a Delaware corporation,		
24	Counter-Claimant		
25			
26	v. EXONHIT THERAPEUTICS S.A.		
27	a French société anonyme, and EXONHIT		
28	THERAPEUTICS, INC., a Delaware		
ا 50			

CASE NO. C07 1427 WHA (JCS) (EDL)

Corporation,

Counter-Defendants

Plaintiffs ExonHit Therapeutics S.A. and ExonHit Therapeutics, Inc. (collectively "ExonHit"), and Defendant, Jivan Biologics, Inc. ("Jivan") hereby agree and consent to entry of Judgment on the terms set forth below:

STIPULATION AND CONSENT TO JUDGMENT

- 1. This case arises under the Patent Act, 35 U.S.C.§1 et seq. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter hereto, and venue is proper in this District.
- ExonHit filed its Complaint against Defendant Jivan on March 12, 2007. ExonHit's complaint sets forth a claim against Jivan for infringement of United States Patent N°6,881,571 (the "571Patent").
- 3. ExonHit Therapeutics S.A. is the owner of all rights, title and interest in the '571 Patent, entitled "Qualitative Differential Screening".
- 4. Jivan has infringed, induced others to infringe, and/or contributed to the infringement of the claims of the '571 Patent by making, having made, using, selling and/or offering to sell splice variant microarray device that were made, used, sold, and/or offered for sale prior to entry of this Stipulation And Consent To Entry of Judgment. At the time of infringement, Jivan was aware of the existence of the '571 Patent.
 - 5. All claims of the '571 Patent are valid and enforceable.
- 6. The reexamination proceeding before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, including specifically the amendment to claims 1 and 12, did not substantively change the claims of the '571 patent.
- 7. Jivan shall not challenge the validity nor assist any third party, directly or indirectly, in challenging the validity or enforceability of the '571 Patent.

CASE NO. C07 1427 WHA (JCS) (EDL)

STIPULATION AND CONSENT TO ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

8. In any action to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, Jivan shall not assert any affirmative defense to patent infringement for any splice variant microarray device made, used, sold, or offered for sale prior to the date of entry of this Consent Judgment.

JUDGMENT

The Court, pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, ORDERS, ADJUDICATES AND DECREES that:

- 1. Judgment is entered against Defendant for infringement of the '571 patent, and to this end, as of the date of this Judgment, Jivan and its officers, directors, owners, agents, servants, representatives, employees, assigns and successors shall be and are hereby permanently enjoined and restrained from making, using, selling, or offering to sell any devices that infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the claims of the '571 Patent, or importing such infringing devices into the United States, or inducing others to infringe or contributing to the infringement of the claims of the '571 Patent.
 - 2. Jivan's counterclaims are dismissed with prejudice.
- 3. ExonHit releases Jivan from claims for damages for Jivan's splice variant microarray devices and services sold prior to entry of this Consent Judgment.
 - 4. This is a final judgment. No appeals shall be taken from this judgment, and the parties waive all rights to appeal. This is not adjudication on the merits by the Court. The Court has not accepted (or rejected) any of the stipulated items set forth above. Nonetheless, based upon the above stipulation, the Court will enforce the stipulated judgment as between the litigating parties.
- 5. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over the parties and this action for purposes of enforcing the Stipulation and Consent to Judgment.

1	Dated: March, 2010	
2		exonhit therapeutics, s.a.
3		er I hand
4		Lote Meurel Providers, Management Bound
5	Dated: March / . 2010	EXONHIT THERAPELITICS, INC
6		/ 4 .
7		By leant
8		Coic Maurel Provident
9	Dated: Murch _01 . 2010	JIVAN BIOLOGICS, INC.
10	j	// 20 m
11		By 9-3
12		Subta Stinivasan Corporate Executive Officer
13	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CO	INTENT:
14		•
15	Dated: March 2010	BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.
16		111 -11
17		Millen Som
18		William E. Thomson, Ir. Attention for Phintiffs
19	Dated; March, 2010	SHAUB & WILLIAMS LLP
20		ρ
21		By July Such Hemill
22		Lisboth Bossiant Marrist Amorneys for Defendant
23	IT IS SO ORDERED. THE CLERKS	SHALL CLOSE THE FILE.
24		ES DISTRIC
25	Dened: March 1, 2010.	STATUTE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
26		UNITED IT IS SO ORDERED TOURT JUDGE
27		
28		Judge William Alsup
	CASE NO CO7 1427 WHA (ICS) (EDL)	57 PARA PROPARED CONSENT TO
•	B. Lå san de gatoni () name.	OISTRICT